

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48040 of 2017

Date of Decision: 14.02.2018

Kala

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.170 dated 02.03.2017 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Model Town, Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 01.04.2017. His co-accused, namely Vicky, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 06.02.2018 passed in CRM-M-3324 of 2018. The role attributed to the petitioner is similar to that of his co-accused Vicky and thus, treating the case of the petitioner on the same footing, he be released on bail.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Prem Singh.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is in custody since

01.04.2017 and only three witnesses out of nine have been examined, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Kala be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

February 14, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no