

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47934-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 15, 2018

Sukhwinder Singh @ Shindu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.63 dated 24.02.2017 registered under Sections 376, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 at Police Station A-Division, Amritsar City, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner herein is in custody since long and challan has been presented. It is also contended that the statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded and therefore, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars and he is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the ASI-Vikram Singh, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner by contending that

the trial is at the fag end and 10 witnesses out of total 16 witnesses have already been examined, while also submitting that the petitioner herein is involved in a heinous crime and therefore, is not entitled to be enlarged on bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

No ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the petitioner and since the trial is likely to be completed, therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is dismissed being devoid of merits.

Dismissed.

October 15, 2018

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No