

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Smriti
2018.05.16 09:53
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-47814-2017

Date of Decision: 11.05.2018

Sudesh Rani

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. D.S.Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J. (Oral):

Reply by way of affidavit of Superintendent of Police, Ambala, District Ambala has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. As per this reply, it is stated that since the brother of deceased has made a statement on the date of accident that the husband (Subhash Chand) of the petitioner was driving the motorcycle and slipped of on his own, no FIR was registered.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has given various representations (Annexures P3 to P5) for taking appropriate legal action against the unkonwn persons and to investigate the case with reference to the eye witness, who has given information to the Police Control Room on the date of accident being a witness of the accident. However, the FIR was silent about the action taken on the representations (Annexures P3 to P5).

Counsel for the petitioner further submits that in view of the guidelines of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. and others 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979**, this petition be disposed of issuing a direction to the respondent(s) to take appropriate action on the representations (Annexures P3 to P5).

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to take appropriate action on the representations in view of the guidelines given in the Lalita Kumari' case (Supra).

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

11.05.2018
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether Reportable : Yes/No