

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47769-2017

Date of Decision: 12.01.2018

Amit Tiwari

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as a matter of fact the petitioner and his co-accused acted in self defence after those on the complainant side attacked their house, in fact leading to the roof of the house falling down.

He has produced photographs to show some bricks lying on the floor possibly due to a wall having fallen down.

Be that as it may, the allegation against the petitioner and his co-accused is that after the complainant party had entered the petitioners' house and committed acts as alleged hereinabove, thereafter, the petitioner and his co-accused attacked the complainant in his house (complainants' house) and injured him with a danda, as also one Mohan with a sword, on his head, which learned State counsel submits is a grievous injury even as per the medico legal report.

In view of the above, this petition is dismissed.

However, nothing stated hereinabove will be taken as a comment either on the merits of the case, against/for the petitioner; nor with regard to the alleged attack on the petitioners' house by the complainant, with the petitioner and all those aggrieved by any such action, left to their remedies as per law, for any (alleged) inaction by the police.

January 12, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.