

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-3921-2012 (O&M)  
Decided on: 02.04.2018**

**Rakesh Kumar**

**.... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Badan Singh and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)**

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Similar was the position on the last 4 previous dates of hearing. The present revision petition has been filed belatedly by 61 days. Though, an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act has been moved for condonation of delay contending that the delay took place since file of the present case was mixed up with other files in office of the counsel due to mistake of the clerk. But I do not find that reason to be plausible and satisfactory, as such, the revision petition being time barred and is doomed for failure. However, on merits also, the petitioner does not have any case.

I have perused the judgments passed by SDJM, Ganaur and Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat in appeal and I do not find any illegality or infirmity therein which might have called for any interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction.

Therefore, revision petition stands dismissed.

**(H.S. MADAAN )  
JUDGE**

**02.04.2018**

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No