

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-47648 of 2018
Date of Decision: 27.7.2018**

Ram Niwas alias Niwasa

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajo and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Karan Singh.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in criminal Complaint RBT No. 325 of 13.10.2015 titled 'Rajo versus Ram Niwas'.

On the last date of hearing counsel for the complainant had mentioned that the petitioner had trespassed into the house of the complainant and had inflicted injury.

State has filed reply and submits that both the parties had entered into a compromise relating to the incident of 22.2.2018 and refers to Annexure R-1.

Today nobody appears for the complainant. The petitioner has admitted to have entered the house of the complainant

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 25.1.2018, passed by this Court, petitioner had already appeared before the trial Court.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 25.1.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with the condition that the petitioner will not enter the house of the complainant.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

July 27, 2018

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No