

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.214

CRM-M-47633-2017

Date of decision : 09.02.2018

Pardeep @ Dog

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.258 dated 28.10.2015, registered at Police Station, Narwana City, District Jind, under Sections 302, 216, 120-B, 34 IPC and Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959. According to the allegations made in the FIR, which has been got registered by father of the deceased, the petitioner is the main accused as he fired a shot at the back of the deceased and then shot him in the forehead.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the eye-witnesses have resiled from their statements and that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.11.2015. Out of twenty one witnesses, so far three witnesses have been examined and therefore, the petitioner should be enlarged on regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is the main accused and he has committed a heinous crime in a very brazen manner and as such, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

The petitioner is accused of having committed gruesome of Pardeep son of Sewa Singh. From the averments in the FIR, there was no immediate provocation for commission of the crime. It is a premeditated murder committed in furtherance of common intention and thus, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail. The petition is dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial at an early date, but not later than nine months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 09, 2018
Ramandeep Singh
Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No