

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-3801-2012 (O&M)
Decided on: 01.05.2018**

Bikkarjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Bhullar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Accused-Bikkarjit Singh was tried by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, who vide judgment dated 24.03.2012, convicted him for an offence under Section 325 IPC and sentenced him to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and six months and to pay a fine of Rs.500/-, in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 15 days.

2. The accused-convict preferred an appeal to Court of Sessions, which was dismissed by Additional Sessions Judge (Adhoc), Fast Track Court, Bathinda vide judgment dated 23.10.2012.

3. Feeling aggrieved by such judgments passed by the Courts below, the accused-convict has preferred a revision petition before this Court, which was admitted vide order dated 04.04.2013.

4. However, at oral request of the counsel for the revisionist, the same is taken up today.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist and learned State counsel besides going through the record.

6. During the pendency of the revision petition, the petitioner-Bikkarjit Singh has compromised the matter with complainant-Harnek Singh. His statement in that regard has been recorded by JMIC, Bathinda.

7. Report has been received, confirming that it is a legal and valid compromise. Since compromise has been reached at the stage of criminal revision, at the most some leniency may be shown to him on the point of quantum of sentence.

8. Learned counsel appearing for revisionist-Bikkarjit Singh prays that he has already undergone 6 months and 14 days of his imprisonment and has deposited the amount of fine, therefore, his sentence be reduced.

9. As such, revision petition is disposed of, in as much as judgments passed by the Courts below are upheld as regards conviction and with regard to sentence, the same is modified and the petitioner-Bikkarjit Singh is sentenced to imprisonment already undergone by him in this case.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

01.05.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No