

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-48169 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 13, 2018

Bhuvnesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.25 dated 20.06.2018, under Sections 376, 511, 377, 506, 498-A, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women Kaithal, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 29.06.2018. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation in the matter is complete, as the challan has already been presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature. However, does not dispute the fact that challan has been presented in the matter.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 29.06.2018 and that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall not visit the vicinity of the complainant and shall not try to contact the complainant or her family member in any manner either directly or indirectly.

November 13, 2018

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No