

CRM-M No.47169 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2018

..... Petitioners

..... Respondents

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant/respondent No.2 and accused have arrived at amicable settlement vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of

statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridkot, vide report dated 26.04.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel who does not have any objection to the quashing of FIR.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dera Bassi, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused person.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.06, dated 18.01.2015, under Sections 342, 323, 356, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

18.05.2018
tarun

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No