

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48076-2018

Date of decision-06.12.2018

Pushpa Rani

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 20.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula whereby upon receipt of the report under Section 173 (8) Cr.P.C., the Court has ordered further investigation upon an application moved by the prosecution/Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not wish to place on record any document, as noticed in the previous order dated 30.10.2018, by this Court.

On the basis of the complaint given by the petitioner, the Police has taken the cognizance and investigation is being done. The Police has after investigation already submitted the report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C and therefore, the complainant has no *locus standi* to challenge the further

investigation at this stage, which according to the Investigating Agency is required. The investigation is at advanced stage and the Court has given valid reasons in its order dated 20.07.2018 that further investigation is required. The field of investigation is completely occupied by the Police and no exceptional ground is made out to interfere, with the impugned order dated 20.07.2018.

However, it is needles to say that after completion of further investigation, complainant can raise the issues related to investigation before the competent Court at the stage of cognizance of alleged offences.

Consequently, petition stands dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

06.12.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No