

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47964-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.11.2018

Malkit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.P.S. Ghumman, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.141 dated 08.09.2018 registered under Section 354 IPC and Section 8 of POCSO Act at Police Station Sadar, Nabha District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a false case has been registered against the petitioner on account of party faction in the village and the Sarpanch is inimical to the petitioner. Counsel urges that the petitioner is a Sewadar at a Samadh and the FIR had been lodged after a delay and the wife of the petitioner had made a representation and the family of the petitioner was also staying there and the victim and their family were seen along with the President of the political party and the FIR had been lodged on account of conspiracy.

The submission on behalf of the complainant is that the complainant lives in a village which is 35 kms away and they have nothing to do with the Sarpanch and the complaint was given by the petitioner and his two daughters had gone on different dates and they have made statements before the Magistrate and they were first shown a video and thereafter molested.

Learned State counsel informs that the police is looking into the complaint given by the wife of the petitioner. He also states that the victims got their statements recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and have given details of what had happened with them.

The allegations are serious. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

November 02, 2018

ps-I

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No