

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 17.09.2018

1. CRM-M-47016-2017 (O&M)

Parvinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-9777-2018 (O&M)

Parvinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Samrit Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

On 14.12.2017, while issuing notice of motion, the petitioner had given an undertaking that he will pay an amount of Rs.1.00 lac to the complainant and will further pay Rs.1.00 lac as per the settlement. Thereafter, on 27.02.2018, the Investigating Officer informed the Court that despite issuance of notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has not joined the

investigation. On 09.05.2018, again request was made that the petitioner could not join the investigation, as he has filed CRM-M-9777-2018 praying for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise and one more opportunity was granted to join the investigation. Again on 25.07.2018, last opportunity was granted to the petitioner to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh, submits that till date, the petitioner has not joined the investigation, even for a single time.

A perusal of the orders passed in CRM-M-9777-2018, in which the petitioner is praying for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise, shows that though respondent No.2 Iqbaljit Singh is duly served, however, no one appeared on his behalf on 25.07.2018. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant to acknowledge that there is a compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, both these petitions are dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

17.09.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No