

**220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47921-2018

Date of decision: November 02, 2018

Rohit (Minor)

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 12 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 for grant of regular bail to petitioner-Rohit, in case FIR No.352 dated 05.07.2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 379-B, 394 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and later on added Section 25 (Section 54 of 1959) Arms Act (wrongly mentioned as 25/54/59 in the impugned order) as at Police Station Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.

Heard.

It is submitted that the petitioner is a juvenile and now compromise has been effected.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case and the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerably long time, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief

Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned subject to following terms:-

- (a) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- (b) In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh.
- (c) He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the court.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

November 02, 2018
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No