

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-46909 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:01.10.2018

Dr. Ramehar Sheoran

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. SS Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 11.12.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation and answered all the questions put to him.

Learned counsel for respondent-State contends that the petitioner is involved in one other case of similar nature, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is on bail in that case.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and answered all the questions put to him, interim order dated 11.12.2017 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is found to be involved in some other case of similar nature, the State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

October 01, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No