

CRM-M-47780-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47780-2018

Date of Decision: 07.12.2018

Gajender Singh Raghav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.158 dated 05.04.2018, registered at Police Station Badshahpur, District Gurugram, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is not named in the FIR. The allegations are against co-accused. No money has been paid to the present petitioner.

CRM-M-47780-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an accused in another FIR wherein allegation against him is regarding impersonation to the effect that he had sold the property to the accused of the present case and qua which, a separate FIR (Annexure P-2) has been registered.

The petitioner has been in custody since 19.06.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

07.12.2018

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No