

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-47768-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.10.2018

Balwan

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.594 dated 31.12.2017, registered at Police Station City Kaithal, under Sections 406, 420 and 506 IPC.

According to the contents of the FIR, the complainant paid a sum of Rs.3.5 lacs to the son of the petitioner for getting his son employed. Specifically, there are allegations against the petitioner and his wife that they induced the complainant to pay the money and that the petitioner brought the complainant into contact with his son.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a false case has been foisted upon the petitioner. The money has been paid into the account of co-accused-Dinesh. The petitioner has been unnecessarily roped in being the father of said Dinesh.

There is no material on record to support the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner. Merely because, he says that it is false case, is no ground to accept the contention. Specific and serious

allegations has been made against the petitioner and in my view, he does not deserve any discretionary relief.

The petition has no merit and is accordingly, dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

30.10.2018
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No