

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47766-2018

Date of decision : 14.11.2018

Robinjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Karan Chaudhary, Advocate
for Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail in the FIR no.72 dated 15.08.2018 under Sections 308, 452, 323, 325, 506, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Mehta, Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 30.09.2018 and the trial in the case will take long time.

On the other hand, counsel for the complainant has argued that the petitioner is a habitual offender as four other FIRs are pending against him.

State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court today, which is taken on record. He submits that the other cases as pointed out by the counsel for the complainant are registered against the petitioner in other

State, therefore, the details of such cases are not coming forward in the custody certificate.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 month and 12 days, and the conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time. The plea of the complainant that there are other FIRs against the petitioner cannot be a ground to decline him the concession of regular bail particularly when the details of those cases have not been brought on record.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner extends any threat or put pressure on the complainant in any manner, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

November 14, 2018.

D.K.

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No