

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 46787 of 2017

Date of decision: 08.02.2018

Gurmukh Singh and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of cross case registered vide GD No. 16 dated 18.11.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 323, 326, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the complaint was registered on the statement of Taranjit Singh @ Tarna. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexure P-2.

Vide order dated 11.12.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and the parties have voluntarily compromised the matter without any pressure, undue influence or coercion and same has done with the free consent of the parties.

Counsel for the State and respondents No. 2 & 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No.2 (complainant) and respondent No.3 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the complaint reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, GD No. 16 dated 18.11.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 323, 326, 148 and

Sahil Soni
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

February 08, 2018
sahil soni

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no