

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-47684 of 2018

Date of Decision:- 01.11.2018

Sandeep Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.35 dated 26.06.2018 registered at Police Station Tallewal, District Barnala under Section 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR was registered at the behest of the grandfather of the petitioner. The matter has now been settled vide compromise dated 13.9.2018 and a quashing petition is likely to be filed in the near future. Thus, regular bail may be granted to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that 24 injuries have been caused to the petitioner, out of which two injuries are on the head, which are grievous in nature.

The petitioner has inflicted injuries with a sharp edged weapon namely 'Tokka'.

It is apparent that the petitioner has caused injuries to his own grandfather in a

merciless way just because there is a dispute regarding land. Under the circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon order dated 2.4.2018 passed in *Crl. Misc. No.M-3854 of 2018* titled as *Gurmeet Singh vs. State of Punjab*. He submits that in another case under Section 307 IPC, this Court has granted regular bail because the parties had compromised the matter. Reliance has also been placed upon order dated 27.9.2018 passed in *Crl. Misc. No.M-40115 of 2018* titled as *Gurmeet Singh @ Meeta vs. State of Haryana and another*, and order dated 05.09.2018 passed in *Crl. Misc. No.M-33100 of 2018* titled as *Jatinder Singh vs. State of Punjab*.

A grandson had inflicted serious injuries upon his own grandfather. In my view, such a person does not deserve any mercy or leniency. Reliance upon *Gurmeet Singh's case (supra)* is misplaced because in that case there was no relationship between the parties as in the present case. The cases of *Gurmeet Singh @ Meeta (supra)* and *Jatinder Singh (supra)* do not lay down any proposition of law. Each case has been decided on its own facts.

Thus, there is no merit in this petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

November 01, 2018
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No