

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46740-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.04.2018

BALJIT @ GEETY

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. B.K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)

Registry has reported that no intimation has been received regarding service of respondent no.2.

State counsel says that respondent no.2 had been served in the presence of the Sarpanch and the summons also bears the signatures of the mother. Copy of summons has also been placed on record.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 337 dated 18.11.2017, registered under Sections 376/323/506 IPC, Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 08.12.2017, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has cooperated.

Learned State counsel on instructions has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 08.12.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

26.04.2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No