

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Revision No.2857 of 2012 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-12.03.2018**

Smt. Hukma Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Asha Ram Meena and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J.(Oral)

It is seen that after the petition was adjourned sine die to await the decision of the Full Bench in **Tata Steel Limited vs. M/s Atma Tube Products Ltd. and others**, notice was issued to counsel for the parties, returnable on 13.12.2016, on which date Mr. Anil Ghanghas, counsel for the applicant-petitioner, stated before the Court that the petitioner has taken away the brief from him. Consequently, notice was ordered to be issued to the petitioner as also to respondents No.1 and 2, returnable on 07.3.2017.

The matter eventually came up for hearing on 25.8.2017, on which date none appeared for the petitioner, it having been therefore adjourned to today. It is seen that as per the report of the Registry, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back, with the report that she had

died on 26.2.2013 but service of notice was effected upon her son.

No application is seen to have been filed, by which the applicant-petitioner is sought to be substituted by her son to pursue the application seeking leave to appeal against acquittal of respondent No.1, in the complaint instituted under Section 138 of the N.I. Act.

Respondents No.1 and 2 are also seen not to be served.

Obviously, the petitioner's legal heirs are not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 12, 2018
Vijay Asija

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No