

Sr. No.117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47522-2018
Date of decision:26.10.2018**

Gagandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Avinash Mandla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

Both the petitioners are present in person and identified by their counsel. They seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/respondents No.4 and 5 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation(Annexure P-4) in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur on 24.10.2018, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 submits that respondents No.4 and 5 have no objection whatsoever to the alleged marriage and petitioner No.1 is free to live her life as per her choice.

Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other, in

support of which photographs(Annexures P-3) has been placed on record.

For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

Thus, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur is directed to consider the representation dated 24.10.2018(Annexure-P4) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being Aadhar Cards of petitioners No.1 and 2(Annexures P-1 and P-2). The petitioners have not produced on record a copy of their marriage certificate. This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed off with the above direction.

26th October, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*