

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46590-2017

Date of Decision: 11.01.2018

Ankit @ Kitti

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioners' application for bail was rejected by the trial Court on 27.10.2017, an identically placed co-accused, i.e. Hardeep @ Deepa, was granted bail by that Court subsequently on 05.12.2017, observing that since the next date of hearing in the trial was 02.03.2018, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars for an indefinite period.

Learned counsel for the State, on query, does not deny that the role of the petitioner is identical to that of Hardeep @ Deepa.

That being so, this petition is allowed and the the petitioner, Ankit @ Kitti, shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, during the pendency of the trial.

January 11, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.