

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4750-2018

Date of decision:-5.2.2018

Parbjeet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Petitioners in person with Mr.Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

It is contended that petitioner No.1 – Parbjeet Kaur, aged about 18 years has got married with petitioner No.2- Kapil Suri, aged about 34 years, against the wishes of her parents, who are arrayed as private respondents No.4 to 8 and they have been trying to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Ramandeep Sandhu,

Sr.D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3,

whereas Mr.S.S. Goraya, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4, who is stated to be father of petitioner No.1 and accepts notice on his behalf and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.

Respondents No.4 and 5, namely, Ashok Kumar and Saroj Rani, who are father and mother of petitioner No.1 have appeared in the Court. Learned counsel representing respondent No.4 states that respondent No.4 and other private respondents would not give any threat to petitioners or otherwise interfere in their lives, though they reserve their right to approach the police and law enforcement agencies for redressal of their grievances.

It being so, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to take necessary measures to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 or persons claiming under them.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

It is further made clear that this order would not debar the private respondents No.4 to 8 from taking any recourse to the legal

remedy for redressal of their grievances.

5.2.2018
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No