

132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46571-2017
Date of Decision: 22.01.2018**

Satinder Singh @ Little and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.89, dated 17.09.2013, under Sections 109, 506, 34 and 309 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phillaur, vide report dated 05.01.2018 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phillaur, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.89, dated 17.09.2013, under Sections 109, 506, 34 and 309 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

22.01.2018

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No