

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: April 03, 2018.

1. Criminal Misc. No.M-46549-2017

Harjit Kaur PETITIONER

Versus

State of Punjab RESPONDENT

2. Criminal Misc. No.M-48178-2017

Daljit Singh PETITIONER

Versus

State of Punjab RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner (s).
Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. G.B.S. Dhillon, Advocate, for the complainant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

By this common order, the above referred two petitions i.e. Criminal Misc. No.M-46549 of 2017 (Harjit Kaur v. State of Punjab) and Criminal Misc. No.M-48178 of 2017 (Daljit Singh v. State of Punjab) are being disposed of. Facts for the sake of convenience are being extracted from Criminal Misc. No.M-46549 of 2017.

Prayer in these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 168 dated 27.06.2017, under Sections 306, 384, 386, 387, 120-B of the IPC, Sections 67, 67-A of Information Technology Act

and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural.

It is submitted that no offence punishable under Section 306 or any other offences as mentioned in the above said FIR are made out against the petitioners. The only allegations made against the petitioners, who are parents of accused-Satnam Singh are to the effect that they did not intervene the matter in favour of the deceased.

It is submitted that the petitioners have joined investigation and they undertake to face the proceedings and shall not misuse the concession of anticipatory bail, if afforded to them. Therefore, it is prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions, from ASI-Nirmal Singh, confirms that both the petitioners have joined investigation. It is submitted that during investigation both the petitioners have been found innocent.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both these petitions are allowed. Consequently, interim order dated 05.02.2018 is made absolute.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

April 03, 2018
 jyoti-3

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No