

210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-40608-2018 IN/AND
CRM-M-47463-2018**
Date of decision:17.12.2018.

KIRANDEEP SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

CRM-40608-2018

Prayer in this application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for recalling the order dated 01.11.2018, vide which, the present petition seeking regular bail, was dismissed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner states that the aforesaid petition was dismissed by this Court on the ground that the petitioner was involved in 5 other FIRs, as detailed in the order dated 01.11.2018 passed by this Court. In all the FIRs, the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial Court, but respondent has projected as if the petitioner was convicted in all the FIRs.

Pursuant to the order dated 13.12.2018, Mr. Navjot Singh Mahal, Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) is present in Court. He is fair enough in submitting that it is because of some wrong

communication, true facts were not brought to the notice of this Court.

However, the petitioner stands acquitted in the referred FIRs.

In view of above, application is allowed and the order dated 01.11.2018 passed by this Court, is recalled.

CRM-M-47463-2018

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.99 dated 18.06.2018 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 of IPC at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is in custody since 18.06.2018. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused Navinderjit Singh alias Raja had snatched the pursue of the complainant containing ATM Card, Visa HDFC Bank, Aadhar Card, Identity Card and one Tablet mark Samsung.

Learned State counsel has informed this Court that the trial in the case is pending and only two witnesses have been examined so far.

Considering the custody and there being no other case pending against the petitioner more specifically for the offence under Section 379-B IPC, petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

17.12.2018

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.