

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46462-2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision:01.03.2018**

Rajvir Singh @ Naveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T. Chandigarh

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Mr. Sumit Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K. Lamdharia, Addl. P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).**

**CRM-4874-2018:**

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The accompanying documents are taken on record at Annexure  
P-3 (colly).

Application is disposed of.

**Main case:**

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR  
No.167, dated 19.08.2017, under Sections 66-C/66-D of I.T. Act and  
Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-  
26, Chandigarh.

FIR came to be registered on the complaint of Om Parkash S/o  
Suraj Bhan. Allegations in a nutshell are that the complainant had been  
duped of a total sum of Rs.4,19,000/- on the pretext of being sold a flat in  
Noida and such amount having been paid over different dates and such  
money having been utilized towards issuance of insurance policies.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, prosecution

version is that amount of Rs.25,000/- was deposited in his account by way of RTGS.

Investigation is complete and even challan has been presented.

Learned counsel representing U.T. Chandigarh would submit that during the course of investigation even certain forged documents like Adhar Card have been recovered from the premises wherefrom petitioner as also other co-accused were operating.

Petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2017.

The trial would take time to conclude.

Complainant, Om Parkash has already been examined before the trial Court.

It is not the case made out on behalf of the U.T. Chandigarh that petitioner, if granted benefit of bail would be in a position to hamper the course of a free and fair trial.

In the considered view of this Court, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till culmination of the trial.

Without making any observations on merit, prayer for grant of bail is accepted.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

**01.03.2018**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |