

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-47325-2018

Date of Decision: October 26, 2018

Ramandeep Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner allegedly was in relationship with respondent No.3. Now since the petitioner wants to break up the relationship, he is allegedly being threatened by her, with false implication in a criminal case. Despite representation dated 20.10.2018 (Anneuxre P-8) having been submitted, the Police is not taking any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 and 2 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 only. Let three copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No.3.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 20.10.2018 (Annexure P-8) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 26, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**