

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-46356 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.01.2018**

Yogesh Roshan & Anr.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.**

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

**Mr. Parveen Mann, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**

—

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No. 21 dated 13.01.2015, under Sections 406 and 498-A, registered at Police Station Jagadhri City, District Yamuna Nagar and the consequent proceedings taken therein on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

The petitioners are the husband and mother-in-law of respondent No.2.

Report has been received from the trial court after recording statements of the parties on compromise. The Court below has reported that compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Trial Court has also sent copy of statements

of parties recorded by it.

Reply has been filed by the State, wherein it is submitted that FIR was registered against eight persons, but the challan was filed against the petitioners and trial is going on and the petition is not maintainable.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR(Crl.) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2012(10) SCC 303,** the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted therein are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

January 19, 2018
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No