

Parvinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that because he made complaints against respondent No. 7, he is being threatened by him. Despite representations having been made, the police has failed to take any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 6 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, AAG Haryana notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 6. Let seven copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 7.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 25.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 25, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**