

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. **CRM-M-47069-2018**

Karamjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

2. **CRM-M-42015-2018**

Abhay

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Date of decision:01.11.2018

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for
the petitioner in **CRM-M-47069-2018**.

Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CRM-M-42015-2018**.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana
in both cases.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of above-mentioned two petitions
filed on behalf of petitioners-Karamjeet Singh and Abhay seeking regular

bail in case registered vide FIR No.201 dated 24.07.2018 under Section 22(B) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa.

As per the case of prosecution, pursuant to receipt of a secret information, the present two accused namely Karamjeet Singh and Abhay were apprehended and while one strip of Parvorin-Spas Tramadol containing 10 tablets was recovered from each of the petitioners, 19 strips were recovered from the other person namely Satbir (non-applicant).

Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and that in any case, the recovered quantity from each of the petitioners is less than 'commercial quantity' and that even if, by any stretch of imagination, they are attributed conscious of entire contraband, still the same does not fall within the category of 'commercial quantity'.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted while opposing the petitions that challan in the present case has already been presented, but no PW has been examined so far.

Having regard to the abovesaid facts and circumstances of the present case and bearing in mind the fact that the quantity of the contraband recovered from the petitioners is less than 'commercial quantity', in my opinion, no fruitful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioners behind bar. Accordingly, petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The petitions are accepted in the abovementioned terms.

It is, however, clarified that none of the observations made above shall be taken to be an expression on merits of the main case.

01.11.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? **Yes / No**

Whether reportable? **Yes / No**