

206.

SUNIL
2018.10.03 10:56
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46014-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.09.2018

RANJEET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(oral)

Counsel for the petitioner and the complainant state that a compromise has been effected between the parties and they have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, which has been allowed and decree of divorce has been passed yesterday.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 04.12.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 28, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No