

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.45999 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.02.2018

Mubarik

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.7177 of 2018:

Ld. Counsel for the applicant-petitioner is permitted to place
on record Annexure P-4.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M No.45999 of 2017:

Seen Case Diary.

2. There is scanty material linking the petitioner with the alleged
offence. Even the F.I.R. does not state anywhere that the petitioner had any
direct interaction with the complainant. His involvement, if any, in the case
is, therefore, qualitatively different from that of Parveen Sharma, who

admittedly had taken the policy premium amount from the complainant in cash, and who is now stated to be already in judicial custody.

3. For the above reasons, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier is confirmed.

4. Disposed off.

27.02.2018

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No