

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45810-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 30.01.2018

Baljinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already joined the investigation in pursuance of order dated 02.12.2017 passed by this Court.

This fact is acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Narbir Singh and he further states that the custodial interrogation of the present petitioner is not required in this case.

On the other hand, the present bail application has been opposed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant by submitting that as per opinion of the doctor injury No.3, which was

attributed to the present petitioner, is grievous in nature and offence under Section 325 IPC is clearly made out against him.

Be that as it may, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and his custodial investigation is not required in the present case. Thus, the interim order dated 02.12.2017 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed of.

January 30, 2018
naresh.k

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	No
Whether reportable	No