

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-45742 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2018**

Neeraj Sharma @ Ashu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Paul Batra, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in case FIR No. 99 dated 26.07.2016, under Sections 302, 307, 452, 148, 149, 324 IPC registered at Police Station Haibowal, District Police Commissionerate.

Even though it is a case of circumstantial evidence yet as per prosecution version present petitioner was alleged to have been armed with sharp-edged weapon and having inflicted injuries upon the person of the deceased.

Complainant-Baljit Singh i.e. uncle of the deceased would be seen as a material witness and who is yet to be examined.

Under such circumstances this Court would not be inclined to grant concession of regular bail at this stage.

In these circumstances, counsel prays for withdrawal of the petition.

As per submission made, petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

It is further directed that prosecution would make an earnest endeavour to have deposition of material witness Baljit Singh to be recorded before the trial Court at the earliest, possible.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

March 22, 2018
Poonam (II)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |