

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45692-2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2018

Jafru and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the petitioners not having been arraigned as accused in the report submitted under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C., this petition has been rendered infructuous, with no separate proceedings pending against the petitioners for the commission of an offence punishable under Section 174-A IPC.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as such, with learned counsel for the petitioners submitting that the petitioners be considered to be at liberty to take appropriate proceedings for their false implication in the FIR.

Without making any comment on the aforesaid contention with regard to alleged false implication, which would be gone into in appropriate proceedings, obviously, liberty need not be granted by this Court and is always available to any citizen to initiate proceedings as are legally available to her/him.

May 30, 2018

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

Yes

Nitin
2018.06.01 16:33
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document