

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45665 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.02.2018

Ninder Kaur @ Narinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

AND

CRM-M-44335 of 2017(O&M)

Surjan Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG., Punjab.

Mr.A.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-45665 of 2017 and CRM-M-44335 of 2017. For the sake of convenience, facts are extracted from CRM-M-45665 of 2017.

CRM-M-45665 of 2017, has been filed by Ninder Kaur @ Narinder Kaur, who is the sister-in-law of the complainant. CRM-M-44335 of 2017 has been filed by Surjan Singh and Manjit Kaur, who are some of the persons who attended the wedding in question. Petitioner-Surjan Singh, it is stated, is not even related to the parties in question and petitioner-Manjit

Kaur, is the mother-in-law of the elder brother of the complainant's husband.

Petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.13 dated 15.10.2016, under Sections 420, 494 IPC, registered at Police Station NRI District Amritsar City.

It is submitted that during the pendency of these petitions, the matter has been amicably resolved between the complainant and her husband/parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 11.01.2018. The settlement agreement dated 11.01.2018, is attached with the file of CRM-M-45665 of 2017. Thus, it is submitted that in view of the said settlement, these petitions be allowed.

In terms of the said compromise, three demand drafts amounting to ₹10 lakhs i.e. D.D No. 320124 dated 17.02.2018 of ₹2,50,000/-, D.D. No. 002603 dated 19.02.2018 of ₹5,00,000/- and D.D.No. 500792 dated 19.02.2018 of ₹2,50,000/-, have been handed over to respondent no.2, who is present in Court today.

Learned counsel for respondent no.2 affirms and verifies the factum of settlement arrived at between the parties and submits that respondent no.2 has no objection in case these petitions are allowed subject to her husband/petitioners strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State verifies that none of the petitioners are involved in any other criminal case. There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the

case, these petitions are allowed.

In the event of arrest of the petitioners, they be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/investigating Officer. Petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so. Petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Liberty is however afforded to respondent no.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter in case the terms and conditions of the settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioners.

21.02.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.