

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**101**

**CRM-M-46575-2018**

Date of Decision: October 22, 2018

Rakesh and another

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No. 223 dated 16.07.2017, registered at Police Station Old Sabzi Mandi, Rohtak, District Rohtak, under section 306/34 IPC.

The deceased is Raju son of the complainant. He committed suicide some time on or before 01.07.2017. While cleaning his room, a suicide note was recovered in which the deceased has blamed the petitioners for his death.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not to blame for the death of Raju. They are residing at a different places and were not harassing him. He may have had some marital dispute but the petitioners were not responsible therefor. A false case has been foisted upon them and therefore they deserve to be granted anticipatory bail.

The suicide note has been forensically examined and the finding is that the note was in the handwriting of the deceased. Thus, I cannot accept the argument of learned counsel for the petitioners as a deceased person is not likely to falsely implicate somebody before killing himself. Obviously, he was feeling tormented by the petitioners and therefore, he left a note detailing the cause of his death.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the present petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

October 22, 2018

*sonia arora*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No