

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

260

Date of decision: 04.05.2018

CRM-M-45634-2017

Inderjit Singh and others

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-47122-2017

Gurmeet Singh and others

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sumeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-45634-2017.
for respondent No. 2 in CRM-M-47122-2017.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,
for the State-respondent No. 1.

Mr. A.P.Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-47122-2017.
for respondents No. 2 and 3 in CRM-M-45634-2017.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

By this order, I propose to decide two petitions i.e. CRM-M-45634-2017 titled as **Inderjit Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** and CRM-M-47122-2017 titled as **Gurmeet Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another.**

In CRM-M-45634-2017, prayer is for quashing of FIR No. 164

dated 20.07.2017 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 149 IPC registered at Police

Station Meharban, District Ludhiana and offence under Sections 295-A/356 IPC added later on and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom whereas in CRM-M-47122-2017, prayer is for quashing of cross case registered vide DDR No. 27 dated 21.07.2017 under Sections 323, 506, 149 IPC at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 07.11.2017 (Annexure P-2).

In pursuance to the order passed by this Court, the parties had appeared before the trial Court and got recorded their respective statements. On the basis the statements given by them before the Court below, a report has been submitted by the trial Court, wherein it has been concluded that the parties have entered into a compromise dated 07.11.2017, which is found to be genuine, without any coercion, undue influence or pressure. It has further been concluded that the complainants, in their respective cases, have no objection in case the FIR and the DDR recorded at their behest are quashed.

Counsel for the parties, on the basis of the report submitted by the Court below, pray that their petitions be allowed by quashing the FIR and the DDR in question, which have been recorded at the behest of the complainants in their respective cases in the light of the compromise, which has been entered into between the parties and has been found to be genuine.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and the parties have no grudge, as of now, against each other, it would be in the interest of parties that the matter should come to an end so that they can live peacefully without any grudge against each other.

Keeping in view the ratio of the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab**, 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482 and

Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Crl. Appeal No. 1723 of 2017 titled as **Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur vs. State of Gujarat and another**, decided on 04.10.2017, these petitions are allowed. FIR No. 164 dated 20.07.2017 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana and offence under Sections 295-A/356 IPC added later on and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom and DDR No. 27 dated 21.07.2017 under Sections 323, 506, 149 IPC at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

May 04, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No