

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-46530 of 2018
Date of Decision: October 22, 2018

Mahinder Pal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Garg, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is feeling threatened by the private respondents. In this regard a representation dated 22.07.2018 (Annexure P-3) has been made but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 22.07.2018 (Annexure P-3) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 22, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**