

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CRM-M-46407 of 2018
DECIDED ON: October 22, 2018

HARPREET SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to direct respondent No.3 not to harass him at the behest of officials of respondent No.4 – Bank, who filing false and frivolous complaint are pressurising him to settle the loan account and issue mandatory notice Section 160 Cr.P.C. before calling him to police station.

CRM-M-46407 of 2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the purpose would be achieved if respondent No.3 – Incharge, Economic Offences Wing-1, Amritsar, is directed to look into representation dated 13.09.2018 (P-2) moved by the petitioner, in a time-bound manner.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, but without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 – Incharge, Economic Offences Wing-1, Amritsar, to consider the representation dated 13.09.2018 (P-2) which was submitted to the Commissioner and not to adopt coercive method against the petitioner, in case, he produces a demand draft of Rs.1 lac in favour of the Bank and undertakes to pay the entire dues of the Bank in six or seven instalments.

October 22, 2018
Ankur

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No