

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46400 of 2018.
Decided on:-October 22, 2018.

Asha.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to take appropriate steps and to register an FIR against respondents No.4 and 5 for commission of offence under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is a social worker. The real name of respondent No.4 is Sukhwinder Kaur wife of Taranjit Singh, but she is living as Ranjit Kaur with respondent No.5 Simarjit Singh being his real wife. However, Ranjit Kaur, who is real wife of respondent No.5 is residing with her son, namely, Jaskaran Singh Sooch at Adampur without obtaining divorce from her husband i.e. respondent No.5.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Apart from the fact that the petitioner has no locus to file the present petition, the direction for registration of an FIR cannot be issued in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others (2008) 2 SCC 409***, wherein it has been held as under:

“We have elaborated on the above matter because we often find that when someone has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered at the police station and/or a proper investigation is not being done by the police, he rushes to the High Court to file a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. We are of the opinion that the High Court should not encourage this practice and should ordinarily refuse to interfere in such matters, and relegate the petitioner to his alternating remedy, firstly under Section 154(3) and Section 36 Cr.P.C. before the concerned police officers, and if that is of no avail, by approaching the concerned Magistrate under Section 156(3).

If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police station his first remedy is to approach the Superintendent of Police under Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. or other police officer referred to in Section 36 Cr.P.C. If despite approaching the Superintendent of Police or the officer referred to in Section 36 his grievance still persists, then he can approach a Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. instead of rushing to the High Court by way of a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Moreover he has a further remedy of filing a criminal complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. Why then should writ petitions or Section 482 petitions be entertained when there are so many alternative remedies?”

Similar view has also been taken by Hon'ble Madras High Court in *Sugesan Transport Private Limited Versus The Assistant Commissioner of Police 2016(5) CTC 577* and *K. Raghupathy Versus The Commissioner of Police, Greater Chennai, Vepery, Chennai and another 2017 (3) MLJ (Criminal) 449*.

In the present case, prayer made by the petitioners is nothing but for issuance of a direction to the official respondents for registration of an F.I.R. against the accused. However, in view of the aforesaid observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sakiri Vasu's case (supra)*, *Sujesan Transport Private Limited's case (supra)* and *K. Raghupathy's case (supra)*, it is apparent that no such direction can be issued by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

October 22, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No