

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46391-2018

Date of Decision: 22.10.2018

Harjinder Singh Saini @ Raju Saini

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 of the Code of Criminal procedure in case FIR No.99 dated 22.08.2018 registered under Sections 454, 380, 427, 506 IPC at Police Station Tibba, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

2. Sessions Court on 5.10.2018 rejected petitioner's bail application while considering nature of the allegations. No doubt petitioner had supplied machineries to the complainant and complainant has not paid the amount which is due to the petitioner for which petitioner cannot take law into his own hand and take away the machineries while creating nuisance in the complainant's firm. It is a clear case of using muscle power against the complainant in taking away the machineries. Sessions Court rightly rejected the petitioner's bail application while taking note of every aspect. Therefore, petitioner has not made out a case so as to extend the concession of anticipatory bail.

3. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

22.10.2018

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

**(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge**