

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45339-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.03.2018

Sugreev Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, D.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.800 dated 12.12.2016 under sections 177, 181, 200, 406, 420, 120-B I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Hisar.

On 30.11.2017, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the FIR in question has been registered against the petitioner with the allegation that he was allotted two plots in a fraudulent manner under the Discretionary Quota, whereas one plot bearing No.2947, Sector 15 is located in Panchkula and the other plot bearing No.729, Sector 14 is situated in Hisar and no recovery is required to be effected from the petitioner as the documents are already with HUDA/complainant.

Notice of motion for 19.01.2018.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and

conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Surender Kumar would apprise the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

That apart, it has gone uncontroverted that the allegations contained in the FIR are founded on documentary evidence and the same are already in possession of the HUDA authorities.

In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner, as such, would not be warranted. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 30.11.2017, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.03.2018
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No