

Sr. No.118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46236-2018
Date of decision:17.10.2018**

Charan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is apprehending serious threat at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9. It is further contended that respondent No. 6 has lodged false FIR against the petitioner. Although the petitioner had already made a complaint to the Police against respondents No. 4 to 9, however, no action was taken by the Police on the Complaint made by the petitioner. It is despite the fact that all the relevant documents showing the commercial transaction between the parties was duly shown to the police authorities. Now since the police have registered a false case on the complaint of respondents No. 4 to 9, therefore, they have become emboldened and are extending continuous threat to the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner apprehends the threat to an extent that he can not enter into the business premisses, which has been purchased by him as per the documents.

Further it is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has the instructions from the petitioner, who is present in the Court, to

submit that he is ready even to pay for the security if the police provides him, so as to save his life.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Shri Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to assess the threat perception of the petitioner. It is further directed that, in any case, if the petitioner furnishes an undertaking to pay for security and deposits the expenses for the security on monthly basis, then the respondent No.2 shall provide him an armed constable, on payment basis. This arrangement shall continue, as long as the threat to the life of the petitioner continues; or till the petitioner stops making payment for the security provided by the police.

17th October, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*