

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45285 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.01.2018

Resham

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C seeking cancellation of regular bail granted to respondents no.2 and 3 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat vide common order dated 3.11.2017 in case FIR No.173 dated 24.5.2017 under sections 323, 452 read with section 34 and 506 I.P.C (sections 325 and 307 I.P.C were added later on), registered at Police Station, Matlauda, District Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, makes a statement that he would not be pressing the instant application for cancellation of bail but would confine the scope of the instant petition for issuance of directions to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat to provide protection to the complainant/petitioner herein as also her husband on the ground that the entire family is facing threats from the accused/private respondents no.2 and 3.

Without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Panipat to examine the representation dated 14.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) which is stated to have already been submitted and to thereafter take steps as he may deem fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

23.01.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No