

Gopi Ram

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The grievance of the petitioner is that in his absence the private respondents sold his machinery and misappropriated the sale consideration. Despite representation dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-4), the police is not taking any action.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 5 to 7.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 17, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**