

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.45202 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 26.03.2018**

Gurpinder Singh alias Guri and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Amit K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.10728 of 2018

The applicant/petitioners are permitted to place on record the document marked Annexure A-1.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM No.10729 of 2018

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed, and on consent of Ld. Counsel for the complainant/respondent, the matter is taken up for consideration today itself.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M No.45202 of 2017

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.21, dated 27th January, 2012, under Sections 324, 452, 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC') (Section 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Samrala, Police District Khanna (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant and victim have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samrala, vide report dated 18th January, 2018 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samrala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant and the victim have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.21, dated 27th January, 2012, under Sections 324, 452, 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC') (Section 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Samrala, Police District Khanna (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

March 26, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No